1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each district and charter school to complete and submit a justification when it anticipates exceeding 1.0 percent of students assessed in a subject area (i.e., English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics, and/or Science) with the NCEXTEND1 alternate assessment. Justifications from each district and charter school will be reviewed by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI), and follow up actions will be determined based on the information found in the justification document. Staff from the Exceptional Children and Accountability Divisions in each district and charter school should collaborate to provide the following information on the justification document. Responses to Sections 1–4 and the designated signatures are required; it is optional to include additional information (see page 5). This justification document will be publicly posted. As such, the document must not contain any personally identifiable information. If necessary, additional pages may be attached to this form.

Section 1: Contact Information

Enter contact information for the primary district/charter school staff member responsible for overseeing the completion of the justification form.

3-Digit LEA/C	harter Code: 780
Contact Name:	Karen Jacobs
Contact Phone	No.: (910) 671-6000
District/Charte	er Name: Public Schools of Robeson County
Contact Title:]	EC Director
Contact E-Mai	l: karen.jacobs@robeson.k12.nc.us

Section 2: Analyzing Contributing Factors

Did the Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams utilize the alternate assessment <u>eligibility criteria</u> and the <u>North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart</u> to make alternate assessment participation decisions?

⊠ Yes □ No

Indicate how all members of the IEP teams have been informed or trained on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. Check all that apply.

Training Method	School Administration	Special Education Staff	Parents	Related Service Staff
Face-to-face training				\boxtimes
Online training				
Given copy of guidance documents				
No training provided				
Other, please explain below				

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

04-1				
Other, please explain below:				
Content Standards. The proc	ess is discus cludes an al	ssed in the IEP me ternate assessment	eting when consi	lents placed on the Extended ideration is made for placing a are also trained on the process ended Content Standards
				one of the standards.
Does the district or charter so traditionally participate (i.e., please explain how the district assessment.	Speech and	Language Impair	ment, Specific L	rnate assessment that do not earning Disability, etc.)? If yes, for participation in the alternate
Explain below:		Yes	⊠ No	
Only the students that meet s	tate criteria	for placement on l	ECS are tested us	sing alternate assessments.
Does the district or charter so	hool provid	lo o tous et a 1	.1 .	
students with significant cogn	nitive disabi	lities?	im that may con	tribute to a higher enrollment of
Explain below:	_ T	Yes	⊠ No	
Click or tap here to enter text				
Does the district or charter so	hool have a	small overall stud	ent population th	nat increased the likelihood of
exceeding the 1.0 percent three	eshold?			
Explain below:	⊠ Y	/es	□ No	
The district has students in grassessments.	ades 5 and 8	8 that are required	to participate in	reading, math and science
Section 3: Assurances				
Does the district or charter sc	hool have a	process in place to	monitor alterna	te assessment participation?
Explain below:	⊠ Y	'es	□ No	

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The percentage of students participating in alternate assessment has changed with the new ESSA
guidelines. The districts has increased in overage with the change (the 1% is determined by participation vs % proficient).
Does the district or charter school have a many in the state of the st
Does the district or charter school have a process in place to identify and address disproportionality in alternate assessment participation (specifically, among race, gender, or socioeconomic status groups)?
Explain below:
Students are placed on Extended Content Standards based on their ability levels and meeting state-defined criteria for placement on an alternate assessment. The assessments used are norm-referenced and are free from bias in reference to race, gender, and socioeconomic status.
Section 4: Resources and Technical Assistance
What resources and technical assistance does the district or charter school need from the North Carolina
Department of Public Instruction to ensure that students are being assessed using the appropriate assessment?
EC satff are trained on the criteria for students to be eligible for ECS and this process is discussed when
reviewing the Testing Students with Disabilities Handbook. Test administrators are trained on how to
administer alternate assessments prior to administering the test.
Signatures
Superintendent/Charter School Director Superintendent/Charter School Director Montes Montes Date 5/3/19
Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator
LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator Date Date 5/3/19
The completed justification form must be signed by the superintendent/charter school director, exceptional children's
director/coordinator, and LEA/charter school testing coordinator. The form must be scanned and emailed to alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov by May 3, 2019.
The NCDPI will notify districts/charter schools in writing if further information is needed and will include next steps. For questions, please contact your Exceptional Children Director or Regional Accountability Coordinator.

<u>Note</u>: See page 5 for additional information that can be included but is not required.

The following additional information can be included with the justification documentation, but is not required:

- Evidence that all educators who administer the alternate assessment meet the requirements for test
 administrators and have received test administration training prior to administering the alternate
 assessment.
- Evidence that all students have appropriate access to accessibility features on statewide tests.
- A review of the percentage of students taking the alternate assessment at grade 3 versus grades 4–7
 versus grade 8 versus high school and an explanation of how Individualized Education Program (IEP)
 teams are making consistent participation decisions across grade levels.
- A review of data to determine if students are moving from the alternate assessment to the general
 assessment or vice versa and an explanation for grade levels where this action is more prevalent.
- Evidence that the district is providing appropriate supports and services to students with disabilities to assist in meeting the same graduation requirements as their non-disabled peers.
- An evaluation of students instructed using the Extended Content Standards, but who are spending more than eighty percent (80%) of their day in the general education setting.
- Evidence of data-driven team decisions to determine appropriate instruction and assessment.
- An assessment of varying practices across a district and/or between different schools.
- An explanation of special programs or populations that are served by the district/charter school that
 may contribute to the alternate assessment participation rate.